scientific reports

OPEN

Check for updates

Rapid climate action is needed: comparing heat vs. COVID-19 related mortality

Fulden Batibeniz1,2,3, Sonia I. Seneviratne1, Srinidhi Jha1, Andreia Ribeiro1, Laura Suarez Gutierrez^{1,4}, Christoph C. Raible^{2,3}, Avni Malhotra⁵, Ben Armstrong⁶, Michelle L. Bell^{7,8}, Eric Lavigne^{9,10}, Antonio Gasparrini¹¹, Yuming Guo^{12,13}, **Masahiro Hashizume14, Pierre Masselot11, Susana Pereira da Silva15, Dominic Royé16,17, Francesco Sera18, ShiluTong19,20, Aleš Urban21,22 & Ana M.Vicedo-Cabrera2,23**

The impacts of climate change on human health are often underestimated or perceived to be in a distant future. Here, we present the projected impacts of climate change in the context of COVID-19, a recent human health catastrophe. We compared projected heat mortality with COVID-19 deaths in 38 cities worldwide and found that in half of these cities, heat-related deaths could exceed annual COVID-19 deaths in less than ten years (at+3.0 °C increase in global warming relative to preindustrial). In seven of these cities, heat mortality could exceed COVID-19 deaths in less than five years. Our results underscore the crucial need for climate action and for the integration of climate change into public health discourse and policy.

Climate change poses a catastrophic threat to humanity. The increasing frequency of extreme temperature events, along with overall warming^{[1](#page-5-0)[,2](#page-5-1)}, has resulted in a significant rise in heat-related health burden^{[3,](#page-6-0)[4](#page-6-1)} which is projected to persist or increase under warmer conditions^{[3,](#page-6-0)[5](#page-6-2),[6](#page-6-3)}. The recent COVID-19 pandemic also represented an unprecedented public health catastrophe with a substantial mortality burden worldwide. Here, we estimate the current and future impacts of climate change on human mortality by using the COVID-19 pandemic as a benchmark.

We calculated the number of years it would take for heat-related deaths to equal a single year's worth of COVID-19 deaths in 38 highly populated cities across 34 countries spanning six continents. Using well-established epidemiological methods^{[4,](#page-6-1)[5](#page-6-2)}, we combined location-specific temperature-mortality relationship for each city with bias-corrected temperature simulations from 31 global climate models from the sixth phase of the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP6) to project heat-related mortality on different global warming

¹Department of Environmental Systems Science, Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. ² Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research (OCCR), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. ³Climate and Environmental Physics, Physics Institute, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. ⁴Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, CNRS, Paris, France. 5Biological Sciences Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, USA. ⁶Department of Public Health Environments and Society, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ⁷School of the Environment, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA. ⁸School of Health Policy and Management, College of Health Sciences, Korea University, Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea. ⁹Faculty of Medicine, School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada. ¹⁰Environmental Health Science and Research Bureau, Health Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 11Environment and Health Modelling (EHM) Lab, Department of Public Health Environments and Society, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK. ¹²Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. ¹³Climate, Air Quality Research Unit, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia. ¹⁴Department of Global Health Policy, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. ¹⁵Department of Epidemiology, Instituto Nacional de Saúde Dr. Ricardo Jorge, Lisbon, Portugal. ¹⁶Climate Research Foundation (FIC), Madrid, Spain. ¹⁷CIBERESP, Madrid, Spain. ¹⁸Department of Statistics, Computer Science and Applications "G. Parenti", University of Florence, Florence, Italy. 19Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute of Environmental Health, Beijing, China. ²⁰School of Public Health and Social Work, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, Australia.
²¹Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Praque, Czech Republic. ²²Faculty of Sciences, Czech University of Life Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic. ²³Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine (ISPM), University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland. ^{[23}email: fulden.batibeniz@env.ethz.ch; sonia.seneviratne@ethz.ch; anamaria.vicedo@unibe.ch

levels (GWLs of +1 °C, +1.5 °C, +2 °C and +3 °C). For each GWL, we compared the heat-related mortality fractions (%, i.e., percentage of deaths due to heat over total mortality) in each city to the national average mortality fraction for COVID-19 (2020–2021) using data from the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University^{7,[8](#page-6-5)} and World Development Indicators^{[9](#page-6-6)}. As an illustration of our approach, if 1 out of 100 deaths in a year were heat-related (1%), and 20% were due to COVID-19, our key metric, the number of years until heat-related deaths equate one year of COVID-19 deaths, would be 20 years. We present the median of the 31 CMIP6 models as the average case and the upper bound as the worst case and the lower bound as the best case within the 95% confidence intervals (Fig. [1,](#page-1-0) Supplementary Table 1). Full spread of the models is shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0)

We found that heat-related mortality in cities can reach COVID-19 mortality levels faster under higher global warming levels, assuming current vulnerability and socioeconomic structures remain unchanged. This holds true for cities with both high and low COVID-19 mortality rates. We identified New York as one of the cities that is highly vulnerable to both COVID-19 and climate change (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)). In the average case (best case), we estimated that heat-related deaths in New York could equal COVID-19 deaths within 11 years (17 years) at +1.0 °C and within 3 years (5 years) at +3.0 °C. In the worst case, these levels could be reached even sooner, within 5 years at +1.0 °C and within 1 year at +3.0 °C. At the current GWL (+1.0 °C), two cities (Sydney and Tokyo) are already at a point where heat-related deaths could equal COVID-19 deaths within 10 years (Figs. [2,](#page-2-0) [3](#page-3-0), Supplementary Table 1). In the worst case, this estimate for the current GWL extends to 7 cities (Sydney, Tokyo, New York, Bangkok, Ho Chi Minh City, Manila, and Seoul). Note that cities in Asia and Australia have lower COVID-19 burdens compared to other cities in the study. As GWLs increase, the number of cities expected to experience heat-related deaths equal to COVID-19 deaths within 10 years increases: 6 cities (15.8%, e.g., Manila) at +1.5 °C, 7 cities (18.4%, e.g., Berlin, Madrid, Paris, Athens, Bucharest) at +2.0 °C, and 12 cities (31.6%, e.g., Valley of Mexico, Rome, Lisbon, Helsinki, Vancouver, and Toronto) at +3.0 °C. In the worst case, the numbers are even higher: 9 cities (23.7%, e.g., Madrid, Athens) at +1.5 °C, 11 cities (29.0%, e.g., Berlin, Paris) at +2.0 °C, and 19 cities (50.0%, e.g., Chicago, Bucharest, Valley of Mexico, Rome, Lisbon, Helsinki, Vancouver, and Toronto) at +3.0 °C. North American and European cities, particularly those in the Mediterranean and Central Europe, show a sharp increase in heat-related deaths with each increment in global warming, indicating a shorter duration (less than 5 years for some cities at +3.0 °C) to reach COVID-19 mortality. These regions are considered as hotspots for climate change^{[1,](#page-5-0)[2](#page-5-1),10}, in particular for heat-related mortality due to their increased vulnerability and exposure.

Our findings serve as a reminder that every bit of warming is significant for human lives. The year 2023 further exemplified this, marked by unprecedented global climate shifts and heat extremes¹¹. For several months, the mean global temperature anomaly exceeded 1.5 °C for the first time in recorded history. This rise in temperature, accompanied by major extreme climate events led to an increase in heat-related deaths. For

New York example: How many years it would take for heat to cause as many human deaths as COVID-19 did in one year?

Fig. 1. Comparison between heat- and COVID-19-related mortality for New York. Each bar illustrates the number of years it may take for cumulative heat-related deaths to equal 1 year of COVID-19 pandemic mortality. We present the best case (lower bound), average case (median) and worst case (upper bound) at GWLs of $+1$ °C, $+1.5$ °C, $+2$ °C and $+3$ °C. The median, lower and upper bounds are derived using 1000 Monte Carlo simulations (see ["Materials and methods](#page-4-0)" section).

Fig. 2. The number of years needed for heat-related mortality to equate 1-year of COVID-19-related mortality. (**a**) Average case: the medians of the models, (**b**) worst case: the upper range of models, within the 95% confidence intervals.

example, a record number of 334 people died from heat in Texas in 2023¹². Human-induced climate change significantly contributed to the heat-related mortality in Switzerland also during the summer of 2022, with 60% of the estimated 623 heat-related deaths could have potentially been avoided in the absence of human-induced climate change[13](#page-6-10). Our study suggests that climate change will exacerbate heat-related mortality. The extent of the increase may depend on factors such as local climate, healthcare infrastructure, and mitigation measures. Beyond the immediate toll on human lives, the impacts of climate change, including heat-related mortality and extreme heat events, have significant economic implications. In a previous study, we estimated that global GDP would be 3.2% lower at +1.5 °C and 10.0% lower at a +3.0 °C increase in global warming compared to preindustrial levels¹⁴. These economic losses at $+3.0$ °C exceed the GDP reduction caused by both the COVID-19 pandemic and the global financial crisis in 2009¹⁴, with nearly half of these damages attributed to extreme heat¹⁴.

While our study provides a useful benchmark to emphasize the urgency of climate change impacts on human health, there are caveats with our approach. Firstly, our approach is conservative as we did not consider population changes and demographic factors. Projected population increases and an aging demographic could potentially exacerbate the severity of heat-related mortality¹⁵. Secondly, we did not account for changes in heat vulnerability (i.e., adaptation), which could have resulted in a lower mortality burden. Future analyses could consider accounting for the complexity and uncertainties steaming from modelling adaptation¹⁶. However, it is important to note that adaptation cannot be compressively included as there is no consensus on the best adaptation measures for heat-related mortality. Thirdly, the heat mortality database that we used (see "[Materials](#page-4-0)

Fig. 3. The number of years needed for heat-related mortality to equate 1-year of COVID-19-related mortality for all the models (the ratio between COVID-19 mortality and heat-related mortality) at GWLs of +1 °C, +1.5 °C, +2 °C and +3 °C. Box plots display the distribution of this ratio across 31 models (in years). The x-axis is displayed logarithmically for clarity. Orange lines serve as a reference to the scale used in Fig. [2a](#page-2-0),b.

[and methods](#page-4-0)" section) does not cover the totality of all population for most of the countries included. Therefore, we restricted the analysis to one city per country (or a sample of cities in the U.S.), which may not reflect the country-wide pattern. Fourthly, we used the average COVID-19 mortality in a country as the representative burden for each city since city-level data were inconsistently available. Since COVID-19 was substantially higher

in main cities compared to rural or smaller locations^{[17](#page-6-14)}, potential differences in COVID-19 mortality within countries and underreporting of cases also need to be considered when drawing inferences from our results. Finally, we did not consider the dependency between COVID-19 and heat-related mortality, which could lead to a higher mortality burden¹⁸. A recent study found that the combined impact of heatwaves and COVID-19 led to an increase in excess deaths per 100,000 population in some regions of England between 2020 and 2022, doubling the rates of the previous decade^{[18](#page-6-15)}.

In conclusion, our study reveals that the effects of increasing temperatures on human lives are comparable to and may exceed mortality from COVID-19. Effective measures to mitigate climate change are crucial for protecting global human health and well-being in the present and future. By comparing heat-related mortality to COVID-19 mortality, we provide insight into the urgency of climate change through the lens of a recent and catastrophic global pandemic. Moreover, it is important to remember that the direct heat exposure is just one factor contributing to climate-related public health impacts. Therefore, our climate-related mortality impact estimate is conservative. The consequences of climate change are not as distant as they may seem, and the threat to human lives is comparable to the profound global impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Materials and methods

Observed temperature and mortality data: the multi-country multi-city (MCC) collaborative research network database

We obtained recorded daily temperature and mortality data for 38 cities from the MCC Collaborative Research Network database, the largest weather and health data consortium to date. The data include daily mortality counts due to any cause or only non-external causes, and the daily average temperature (°C) from local weather stations (Supplementary Table 2). The length of the available data varies by location. Supplementary Table 2 presents descriptive statistics for each city.

COVID-19 mortality data

We used the COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering (CSSE) at Johns Hopkins University. The repository is an online interactive dashboard that tracks reported cases of COVID-19 in real time^{[7](#page-6-4),[8](#page-6-5)}. The global data provided by CSSE is daily and at a national level. Detailed information regarding the data and its sources are available under: <https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19>. We retrieved crude death rate (per 1000 people) and total population of each country from the World Development Indicators website <https://databank.worldbank.org/source/world-development-indicators/preview/on>and calculated the total mortality for 2020 and 2021 (Supplementary Table 3).

To compare mortality due to COVID-19 with heat-related excess mortality, we used the ratio between the total COVID-19-related deaths and the total country mortality for the years 2020 and 2021. In 2020 and 2021, we selected the year with a higher mortality burden for each city, considering that some cities faced a greater impact from the omicron strain of COVID-19 in late 2021 (Supplementary Figure 2, Supplementary Table 3). Since city-level COVID-19 data was only available for certain cities and from varied sources, we used country-level mortality and overall mortality figures to estimate the percentage of COVID-19-related deaths. In regions where state-level data were available, such as the U.S. and Canada, we used these data for corresponding cities. This allowed us to make meaningful comparisons between COVID-19 mortality and heat-related mortality, despite the lack of city-level COVID-19 data.

Climate dataset and study period definition across warming levels

We used CMIP6 simulations from 31 distinct climate models (as shown in Supplementary Table 4). Our analysis covered four Global Warming Levels (GWLs) during present conditions (+1 °C), and three higher GWLs (+1.5 °C, +2 °C, +3 °C) relative to the preindustrial period 1850–1900. These GWLs span 20-year periods and are defined separately for each model to account for variations in climate sensitivity and internal variability. We performed our analysis using GWLs to align with the IPCC AR6 context, inform policy makers and refer to the targets of the Paris Agreement. For more information on the method used to calculate GWLs, we refer Batibeniz et al.^{[1](#page-5-0)} and Seneviratne et al.^{[2](#page-5-1)}.

We used the Shared Socioeconomic Pathway (SSP), SSP5-8.5, which represents a scenario characterized by high-mitigation and low-adaptation challenges, resulting in a radiative forcing of 8.5 Wm² by the year 2100^{[19,](#page-6-16)[20](#page-6-17)}. Since our findings are presented across GWLs, the choice of scenario is not expected to have a significant impact on our results, consistent with previous research $21-23$.

For our analysis, we used daily mean temperature data for 38 cities obtained from each model using the nearest-neighbour method based on latitude and longitude. We performed bias correction using local weather station data from the MCC Collaborative Research Network database. An adopted quantile mapping method provides robust climate model-based scenarios for stations. The approach involves climate model bias correction and spatial transfer of bias-corrected model data to represent the characteristics of the station. The method is validated, and results show promising performance for the mean temperature. This process follows the method outlined in previous studies²⁴.

Estimation of exposure–response relationships of temperature and mortality

The analysis of the relationship between heat and mortality in each location during the period of 1991–2019 was conducted through a two-stage time-series approach, which is a common method $4,5,25$ $4,5,25$ $4,5,25$ $4,5,25$

In the first stage, we used quasi-Poisson regression time series analyses with distributed lag nonlinear models (DLNM) to estimate the temperature-mortality association for each location²⁶. Mean temperature was chosen as the exposure variable $27-30$ $27-30$, and the model specification and parameterization were based on previous studies^{[27](#page-6-23)[,31](#page-6-25),32}. To account for long-term trends and seasonal patterns, we incorporated a natural cubic spline representing time, utilizing eight degrees of freedom for each year, in addition to an indicator term for the day of the week. The temperature-mortality curve was modelled with a natural-spline with three internal knots placed at the 10th, 75th, and 90th percentile of the location-specific observational temperature distributions^{[33](#page-6-27)}. A natural cubic spline with three internal knots equally distributed up to 21 days was applied to capture the lagged response of mortality. The exposure–response function was then reduced into a one-dimensional cumulative exposure–response function, which expresses the location-specific relative risk of mortality as a function of local daily mean temperature.

In the second stage, location-specific coefficients from the first stage were pooled in a multivariate metaregression model to make full use of the hierarchical structure of the data³⁴. We included a set of meta-predictors to capture part of the heterogeneity across locations, such as indicators for region, climate classification³⁵, country-level gross domestic product per capita, and location-specific average and range of temperature. Best linear unbiased predictions (BLUPs) were derived to represent improved location-specific estimates, especially for locations with a short time series or low mortality counts. The BLUPs were then log-linearly extrapolated to cover the additional range of temperature occurring in the GWLs. The analysis was conducted using the R software environment, with the packages dlnm³⁶ and mixmeta³⁴.

Projection of the heat impact on mortality

We projected the heat-related mortality impacts by combining the exposure–response curve with the temperature projections from the 31 CMIP6 global climate models (GCMs) (Supplementary Figure 1). We assumed no adaptation or population changes. We followed the method described in Vicedo-Cabrera et al. (2019) for climate change projections^{[25](#page-6-21)}. Using the relative risk [using minimum mortality temperature (MMT) as reference] corresponding to each day's temperature, we computed the attributable deaths due to temperature. Heat-related deaths were analysed for each city, global warming level and model. The total heat-related mortality attributed was computed by summing the subsets corresponding to days with temperatures higher than the minimum mortality temperature for 20-year global warming levels. The heat-mortality fraction was then computed as the percentage of heat-related deaths over the total mortality. 1000 Monte Carlo simulations were used to obtain empirical confidence intervals (eCIs) that quantified the uncertainty in both the estimation of the exposure-lagresponse relationships and climate projections across GCMs (Supplementary Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 5).

Calculation of the comparison between COVID-19 and heat

Given the significant but short-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, we selected COVID-19 as a benchmark for our analysis. This decision enables us to highlight the urgency of climate change by drawing parallels between the mortality associated with heat and that attributed to COVID-19. The COVID-19 pandemic was an unprecedented event responsible for a substantial mortality burden. However, a pandemic with the same or greater intensity as the COVID-19 outbreak is only expected to occur once every 209 years³⁷. Although the risk of dying due to heat could be lower than due to COVID-19, heat-related deaths happen every year.

To make this comparison possible, we developed a method to represent heat-related mortality in terms of COVID-19 mortality. The metric gives us the number of years that the total amount of heat deaths would be equal to 1 year of COVID-19 deaths either in 2020 or 2021. In a hypothetical example where there are 100 all-cause deaths per year, the fraction of heat mortality per year is 1% (1 person), and COVID-19 is 20% (20 persons) for 1 year. Therefore, the ratio of the mortality fraction of COVID-19 (20% for 1 year) to heat (average 1% per year) would be 20 years. Thus, the cumulative number of heat-related deaths over 20 years would be equivalent to one year of COVID-19 deaths. This means that one year of COVID-19 deaths would correspond to 20 years of heat-related deaths, enabling us to illustrate the urgency of climate change in terms of COVID-19. The reason we use fractions instead of the actual number of deaths is because COVID-19 data are only available at the country level, and total mortality at city level.

Data availability

Daily mean temperature data were retrieved from CMIP6 repository of ETH Zurich ([https://doi.org/10.5281](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3734128) [/ZENODO.3734128](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3734128))³⁸. Note that CMIP6 model outputs are also available from different Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) data nodes. Mortality data were collected within the MCC Collaborative Research Network under a data sharing agreement and cannot be made publicly available. Individual datasets may be accessible upon request to the corresponding MCC partners.

Code availability

All codes necessary to reproduce the analysis presented in this study are available in the following GitHub repository: [https://github.com/fuldenica/COVID-19-vs.-Heat-related-mortality/tree/main?tab=readme-ov-file.](https://github.com/fuldenica/COVID-19-vs.-Heat-related-mortality/tree/main?tab=readme-ov-file) This repository contains the data processing scripts, statistical analysis code, and visualization scripts used in our research.

Received: 5 June 2024; Accepted: 9 December 2024 Published online: 06 January 2025

References

- 1. Batibeniz, F., Hauser, M. & Seneviratne, S. I. Countries most exposed to individual and concurrent extremes and near-permanent extreme conditions at different global warming levels. *Earth Syst. Dyn.* **14**, 485–505 (2023).
- 2. Seneviratne, S. I. *et al.* Weather and climate extreme events in a changing climate. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (eds. Masson-Delmotte, V. et al.) 1513–1766 (Cambridge University Press, 2021). [https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.013.](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.013)
- 3. Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M. et al. Temperature-related mortality impacts under and beyond Paris Agreement climate change scenarios. *Clim. Change* **150**, 391–402 (2018).
- 4. Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M. et al. The burden of heat-related mortality attributable to recent human-induced climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* **11**, 492–500 (2021).
- 5. Gasparrini, A. et al. Projections of temperature-related excess mortality under climate change scenarios. *Lancet Planet. Health* **1**, e360–e367 (2017).
- 6. Lüthi, S. et al. Rapid increase in the risk of heat-related mortality. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 4894 (2023).
- 7. Dong, E. et al. The Johns Hopkins University Center for Systems Science and Engineering COVID-19 dashboard: Data collection process, challenges faced, and lessons learned. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **22**, e370. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00434-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00434-0) (2022).
- 8. Dong, E., Du, H. & Gardner, L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 533–534 (2020).
- 9. World Development Indicators: (1) United Nations Population Division. World Population Prospects: 2022 Revision. (2) Census reports and other statistical publications from national statistical offices, (3) Eurostat: Demographic Statistics, (4) United Nations Statistical Division. Population and Vital Statistics Reprot (various years), (5) U.S. Census Bureau: International Database, and (6) Secretariat of the Pacific Community: Statistics and Demography Programme.
- 10 Batibeniz, F. et al. Doubling of U.S. population exposure to climate extremes by 2050. *Earth's Future* **8**, e2019EF001421 (2020).
- 11. Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. et al. Extreme terrestrial heat in 2023. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* **5**, 244–246 (2024).
- 12. Erin Douglas and Alejandra Martinez. "I don't wish this on anyone": Two families mourn their losses after a record year for Texas heat deaths (2024);<https://www.texastribune.org/2024/01/12/texas-heat-deaths-2023-record-climate-change/>.
- 13. Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M. et al. The footprint of human-induced climate change on heat-related deaths in the summer of 2022 in Switzerland. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **18**, 074037 (2023).
- 14. Waidelich, P., Batibeniz, F., Rising, J., Kikstra, J. S. & Seneviratne, S. I. Climate damage projections beyond annual temperature. *Nat. Clim. Change* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-024-01990-8>(2024).
- 15. Ebi, K. L. et al. Hot weather and heat extremes: Health risks. *The Lancet* **398**, 698–708 (2021).
- 16. Gosling, S. N. et al. Adaptation to climate change: A comparative analysis of modeling methods for heat-related mortality. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **125**, 087008 (2017).
- 17. Cuadros, D. F., Branscum, A. J., Mukandavire, Z., Miller, F. D. & MacKinnon, N. Dynamics of the COVID-19 epidemic in urban and rural areas in the United States. *Ann. Epidemiol.* **59**, 16–20 (2021).
- 18. Lo, Y. T. E., Mitchell, D. M. & Gasparrini, A. Compound mortality impacts from extreme temperatures and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 4289 (2024).
- 19. Jones, B. & O'Neill, B. C. Spatially explicit global population scenarios consistent with the Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**, 084003 (2016).
- 20. Jones, B. & O'Neill, B. C. Global one-eighth degree population base year and projection grids based on the shared socioeconomic pathways, Revision 01. (2020).
- 21. Seneviratne, S. I., Donat, M. G., Pitman, A. J., Knutti, R. & Wilby, R. L. Allowable CO₂ emissions based on regional and impactrelated climate targets. *Nature* **529**, 477–483 (2016).
- 22 Seneviratne, S. I. & Hauser, M. Regional climate sensitivity of climate extremes in CMIP6 versus CMIP5 multimodel ensembles. *Earth's Future* **8**, e2019EF001474 (2020).
- 23. Wartenburger, R. et al. Changes in regional climate extremes as a function of global mean temperature: An interactive plotting framework. *Geosci. Model Dev.* **10**, 3609–3634 (2017).
- 24. Rajczak, J., Kotlarski, S., Salzmann, N. & Schär, C. Robust climate scenarios for sites with sparse observations: A two-step bias correction approach. *Int. J. Climatol.* **36**, 1226–1243 (2016).
- 25. Vicedo-Cabrera, A. M., Sera, F. & Gasparrini, A. Hands-on tutorial on a modeling framework for projections of climate change impacts on health. *Epidemiology* **30**, 321–329 (2019).
- 26. Gasparrini, A. Modeling exposure–lag–response associations with distributed lag non-linear models. *Stat. Med.* **33**, 881–899 (2014).
- 27. Gasparrini, A. et al. Mortality risk attributable to high and low ambient temperature: A multicountry observational study. *Lancet* **386**, 369–375 (2015).
- 28. Armstrong, B. et al. The role of humidity in associations of high temperature with mortality: A multicountry, multicity study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **127**, 097007 (2019).
- 29. Guo, Y. et al. Heat wave and mortality: A multicountry, multicommunity study. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **125**, 087006 (2017).
- 30. Xu, Z., Cheng, J., Hu, W. & Tong, S. Heatwave and health events: A systematic evaluation of different temperature indicators, heatwave intensities and durations. *Sci. Total Environ.* **630**, 679–689 (2018).
- 31. Gasparrini, A. & Leone, M. Attributable risk from distributed lag models. *BMC Med. Res. Methodol.* **14**, 55 (2014).
- 32 De Schrijver, E. et al. A comparative analysis of the temperature-mortality risks using different weather datasets across heterogeneous regions. *GeoHealth* **5**, e2020GH000363 (2021).
- 33. Gasparrini, A., Armstrong, B. & Kenward, M. G. Distributed lag non-linear models. *Stat. Med.* **29**, 2224–2234 (2010).
- 34. Sera, F., Armstrong, B., Blangiardo, M. & Gasparrini, A. An extended mixed-effects framework for meta-analysis. *Stat. Med.* **38**, 5429–5444 (2019).
- 35 Kottek, M., Grieser, J., Beck, C., Rudolf, B. & Rubel, F. World Map of the Köppen–Geiger climate classification updated. *metz* **15**, 259–263 (2006).
- 36 Gasparrini, A. Distributed lag linear and non-linear models in R : The package dlnm. *J. Stat. Soft.* **43**, 1 (2011).
- 37. Marani, M., Katul, G. G., Pan, W. K. & Parolari, A. J. Intensity and frequency of extreme novel epidemics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **118**, e2105482118 (2021).
- 38. Brunner, L., Hauser, M., Lorenz, R. & Beyerle, U. *The ETH Zurich CMIP6 next generation archive: Technical documentation* (2020). [https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3734128.](https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3734128)

Acknowledgements

AMVC acknowledges funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (TMSGI3_211626). L.S.G. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe Framework Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 101064940.

Author contributions

F.B., S.I.S and A.M.V.C were involved in conceptualization. F.B performed the analysis, visualization and wrote the manuscript. F.B., S.I.S., S.J., A.R., L.S.G., C.C.R., A.M., B.A., M.L.B., E.L., A.G., Y.G., M.H., P.M., S.P.S., D.R., F.S., S.T., A.U., A.M.V.C. edited/reviewed the manuscript. B.A., M.L.B., E.L., A.G., Y.G., M.H., P.M., S.P.S., D.R., F.S., S.T., A.U., A.M.V.C. and Multi-Country Multi-City Collaborative Research Network§ were involved in resources and data curation of city level temperature and mortality data.

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.org/1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-82788-8) [0.1038/s41598-024-82788-8.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-82788-8)

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F.B., S.I.S. or A.M.V.-C.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2025